



# **Energetics of Large Geomagnetic Storms**

## Modeling the Disturbed Thermosphere as a Driven-Dissipative System

ISWI Workshop Boston College 3 August 2017

William J. Burke Boston College/Institute for Scientific Research



## **Energetics of Stormtime Thermosphere** Outline



- Historical Background:
  - Effects of the March 1989 storm
  - Solar wind & IMF coupling to Earth's magnetosphere
- GRACE thermospheric density measurements during the November 2004 Storm
  - Clue #1 Similarities to polar cap potential and Sym H index
- Practical implications of J77 Model
- Application of First Law of Thermodynamics
- Energy responses when interplanetary drivers turns off
  - Clue #2: Stormtime thermosphere acts like a driven-dissipative system
- Comparison of driven-dissipative model predictions with measurements.
- Predicting thermospheric responses with the **Dst index alone**
- Summary and Conclusions



## Historical Background: Some Impacts of March 1989 Storm



<u>Great Storm of March 13 - 14, 1989</u>

- <u>Cause:</u> CME launched on March 9, oblique impact on 13 March
- **<u>Effects:</u>** Created a new radiation belt in 5 minutes after impact.
  - Crippled Hydro Quebec for ~ 9 hours
  - Caused US Space Surveillance Network to lose ~3400
     > 10 cm space objects it normally tracks.
  - Collision avoidance capabilities lost
- Subsequently, radiation belt physics was better understood and electric grid vulnerability was addressed and mitigated.
- Storm-induced tracking errors persist.







- *Dessler-Parker-Sckopke Relation*: Dst directly proportional to the total energy of current-carrying particles in the magnetosphere
- Burton-Russell- McPherron Relation: (driven dissipative system)
- Polar Cap Potential IEF Relation  $\Phi_{I} = \Phi_{0} + \Lambda_{G}V_{SW}B_{T}Sin^{2}\frac{\theta}{2}$ IEF Residual SW gate  $1 \text{ mV/m} \approx 6.4 \text{ kV/R}_{E}$



- Polar Cap Potential saturation during large storms  $\Phi_{PC} = \Phi_{I} \Phi_{S} / (\Phi_{I} + \Phi_{S})$ 
  - $\Phi_{\rm S} = 1600 \, {\rm P_{SW}}^{0.33} \, ({\rm nPa}) / \Sigma$

Siscoe et al. (JGR, 2002)





**GRACE Accelerometer Measurements during the November 2004 Storms** 



GRACE satellites measured Thermospheric mass densities at ~ 500 km, during July & November 2004 storms.



- MSIS and J-70 use Ap index as disturbance-time driver *but* underestimated storm effects
- Missed fine structure in GRACE measurements
- Predicted density increases 4 to 6 hours too late
- Clue #1:  $\Phi_{PC}$  and Sym H track <u>centroids</u> of GRACE density perturbation measurements.
- ACE data *may* predict thermospheric responses







#### **J77: Parametric Relations** 2000 T (K) 1600 **Exospheric temperature** $T_{\infty}$ 1200 $T_{\infty}(\rho, 487 \text{ km})$ controls mass density profiles $\rho(h)$ 800 via quadratic relations 5 10<sup>-15</sup> 1 10<sup>-14</sup> 1.5 10<sup>-14</sup> 2 10<sup>-14</sup> 2.5 10<sup>-14</sup> 3 10<sup>-14</sup> $\rho$ (g/cc) $T_{\infty}(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i}(h)\rho^{i}(h) \qquad \qquad a_{i}(h) = \sum_{j=0}^{5} b_{jj}h^{j}(km) \\ \begin{pmatrix} a_{0}(h) \\ a_{1}(h) \\ a_{2}(h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -28.1 & 2.69 & -2.03 \cdot 10^{-3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4.733 \cdot 10^{17} & 4.312 \cdot 10^{15} & -1.372 \cdot 10^{13} & 1.60 \cdot 10^{10} & 0 & 0 \\ 3.2695 \cdot 10^{32} & -4.62 \cdot 10^{30} & 2.618 \cdot 10^{28} & -7.456 \cdot 10^{25} & 1.071 \cdot 10^{23} & -6.237 \cdot 10^{19} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ h \\ h^{2} \\ h^{3} \\ h^{4} \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$ $T_{\infty}(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} a_i(h) \rho^i(h)$ $h^5$

<u>Bottom Line</u>: Knowing mass density at a given attitude we can calculate  $T_{\infty}$ , and through J77 tables, density, temperature and composition profiles





**First Law of Thermodynamics:** 

$$dE_{th} = C_V dT + dW_G$$
$$E_{th} = H_T + \Phi_G$$

## • Thermal energy:

$$H_{T} = \frac{4\pi}{A} \int_{R_{E}+h_{0}}^{R_{E}+1000} C_{V}(r)n(r)T(r)r^{2}dr$$

$$C_{V}(r) = \frac{k_{B}A}{n(r)} \left\{ \frac{5}{2} \left( n[N_{2}] + n[O_{2}] \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left( n[O] + n[Ar] + n[He] + n[H] \right) \right\}$$

 $k_B = Boltzmann constant$  A = Avagadro number

#### <u>Gravitational Energy</u>

$$\Phi_{G} = 4\pi \int_{R_{E}+h_{0}}^{R_{E}+1000} [\phi(r) - \phi(r_{0})]r^{2}dr = 4\pi M_{E}G \int_{R_{E}+h_{0}}^{R_{E}+1000} \rho(r) \left[\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_{0}}\right]r^{2}dr$$
  
$$\phi_{G}(r) = \rho(r)M_{E}G/r$$







- Plot natural log of  $E_{th}$  (J) and  $\epsilon_{VS}(mV/m)$  for days 24 31 July 2004  $E_{th}$  represents the energy added to thermosphere above pre-storm levels
- Vertical lines mark rapid  $\epsilon_{\rm VS}$  decreases
- Slanted lines show relaxation rate of ~6.5 hours in  $E_{th}$  after  $\epsilon_{VS}$  terminates





## Driven-Dissipative System Applications to July and November 2004 Storms



Compare solutions of driven-dissipative equation predictions for  $E_{SW}$  and Dst with GRACE and U. Kyoto databases using  $\varepsilon_{VS}$  from ACE during two storm periods.



## Predicting Stormtime Thermosphere Using Dst Driven-Dissipative Equation Alone





BOSTON COLLEG

- Observed (red dots) vs modeled (blue lines) thermospheric energy  $E_{SW}$  (top) and Dst index (bottom) during November 2004 storms.
- $\mathcal{E}_{VS}$  derived from hourly-averaged ACE data acts as the driver for driven-dissipative  $E_{th}$  and *Dst* equations.

• Since  $T_{\infty SW}$  is directly proportional to  $E_{SW}$ , both it and *Dst* obey driven-dissipative equations

$$\frac{dT_{\infty SW}}{dt} = \alpha_{TSW} \varepsilon_{SW} - \frac{T_{\infty SW}}{\tau_T} \text{ and } \frac{dDst}{dt} = \alpha_D \varepsilon_{SW} - \frac{Dst}{\tau_D}$$
  
Combine equations, eliminate  $\varepsilon_{VS}$  and use  $\Delta t = 1$  hr

 $T_{\infty SW}(t_{n+1}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau_{T}}\right) T_{\infty SW}(t) + \frac{\alpha_{T}}{\alpha_{D}} \left[Dst(t_{n+1}) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau_{D}}\right) Dst(t_{n})\right]$ 

$$\tau_T \approx 6.5$$
 hrs,  $\tau_D \approx 7.7$  hrs and  $\alpha_T / \alpha_D \approx 1.575$ .



Approximate interplanetary and thermospheric conditions during March 1989 storm
 Note maximum T<sub>∞SW</sub>≈ 800° K





- This presentation outlined results of a renewed attempt to address a space weather effect encountered during the great magnetic storm of March 1989 when about 3400 tracked objects were lost.
- The critical new element underlying this advance was the availability of precise thermospheric density measurements from the GRACE satellites during the magnetic storms of the last solar cycle.
- Stormtime GRACE data were viewed in the light of:
  - (1) Established relations between the interplanetary electric field, the Dst index and the cross polar cap potential.
  - (2) Information implicit in the Jacchia 1977 thermospheric model.
- Our analyses show globally-averaged exospheric temperatures  $(T_{\infty})$ , thermospheric energy  $(E_{th})$  and Dst follow the equation for driven-dissipative systems.
- Eliminating ε<sub>vs</sub> from the combined equations shows the evolution of the stormtime thermosphere can be determined using the Dst index alone. An independent study found stormtime tracking errors reduced by 65%





Bowman, B. R., et al., A new empirical thermospheric density model JB2008 using new solar and geomagnetic indices, AIAA 2008 6438, *AIAA/AAS Astrodyn. Specialist Conference*, Aug. 2008.

Burke, W. J., Solar-cycle dependence of solar-wind energy coupling to the thermosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, A06302, doi:10.1029/2011JA016437, 2011.

Burke, W. J. et al., The stormtime global thermosphere: A driven-dissipative thermodynamic system, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *114*, A06306, doi:10.1029/2008JA013848, 2009.

Jacchia, L. G., Thermospheric temperature density and composition, A new model. SAO Special Rpt. 375. 1977

Siscoe, G. L. et al., Hill model of transpolar potential saturation: Comparison with MHD simulation, J. Geophys. Res., 107, (A6), doi: 10.1029/2001JA000109.

Wright, D., Space Debris, *Physics Today*. 60, 10, 35-40. 2007.