Assessment of Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) Using Earth Observation Datasets in Southern Tunisia

O. Terwayt Beyouli, <u>B. Essifi</u>, and M. Ouessar

(1) Institut des Regions Arides (IRA) - Tunisia



United Nations/Romania Conference on Agriculture 06-10 May 2019, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

TUNISIA

Long.: 7° - 12°E Lat.: 32° - 38°N Area: 165 000 km² Population: 12 M

Climate variability Mediterranean Sea Sahara





Land Degradation: a Major Problem in Southeastern Tunisia



- Alarming state of Land Degradation
- A National Concern for SD, Biodiversity Conservation and CC Mitigation and Adaptation

 Concerted Efforts with the International Communities such as The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD)



United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification



LDN Indicators Computation

Application of a tiered approach (UNCCD, 2016):

• Tier 1 (default method):

Global/regional earth observation, geospatial information and modelling.

• **Tier 2:** National statistics and national earth observation.

• Tier 3 (most detailed method): ground measurements (field trips, GPS points collect...)





Study area in southeastern Tunisia



- Climate: upper arid to lower arid
- Rainfall: less than 200 mm/year
- Vegetation: Chamaephytes
- Farming System: agriculture using rainwater



Flowchart of the Methodology



11

Indicator 1: Land Cover Mapping (1999-2015)

Global Datasets: ESA CCI LC maps (300 m)

- 1. Reclassify LC maps to the 7 UNCCD LC classes
- 2. Perform an LC transition analysis
- 3. Identify degradation transitions (-), (+), (0).





Indicator 2: Land Productivity





Indicator 3: Soil Organic Carbon (SOC)



LDN Monitoring Indicators Combination

The integration of the 3 LDN

indicators: **ONE-OUT ALL-OUT**

rule.

Area: potentially degraded by any

of the sub-indicators $\hfill\square$ considered

potentially degraded for reporting

purposes (Kust et al., 2017).

Productivity	Land Cover	SOC		LD status
Improvement	Improvement	Improvement		Improvement
Improvement	Improvement	Stable		Improvement
Improvement	Improvement	Degradation		Degradation
Improvement	Stable	Improvement		Improvement
Improvement	Stable	Stable		Improvement
Improvement	Stable	Degradation		Degradation
Improvement	Degradation	Improvement		Degradation
Improvement	Degradation	Stable		Degradation
Improvement	Degradation	Degradation		Degradation
Stable	Improvement	Improvement		Improvement
Stable	Improvement	Stable		Improvement
Stable	Improvement	Degradation		Degradation
Stable	Stable	Improvement		Improvement
Stable	Stable	Stable		Stable
Stable Stable	Stable Stable	Stable Degradation		Stable Degradation
Stable Stable Stable	Stable Stable Degradation	Stable Degradation Improvement		Stable Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Improvement	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Stable	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Stable Stable	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Stable Stable Stable	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation Improvement Stable Degradation		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation	Stable Degradation Improvement Improvement		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation
Stable Stable Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation	Stable Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Improvement Improvement Stable Stable Stable Degradation Degradation	Stable Degradation Improvement Stable		Stable Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation Degradation

Indicator 1: Land Cover Mapping (1999-2015)



Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

tracking land change



Land Cover Change by Cover Class (1999-2015)

	Baseline area (sq. km)	Target area (sq. km)	Change in area (sq. km)	Change in area (percent)
Grasslands	431,45	383,79	-47,65	-11,05%
Croplands	61,97	61,97	0,00	0,00%
Wetlands	0,00	0,00	0,00	0.00
Artificial areas	0,05	0,05	0,00	0,00%
Other lands	1 834,17	1 881,82	47,65	2,60%
Water bodies	7,73	7,73	0,00	0,00%



Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

tracking land change

Land Cover Map Degradation

	Area (sq.km)	Percent of Total Land Area
Total land area:	2 327,6	100,00%
Land area with improved land cover:	0,3	0,01%
Land area with stable land cover:	2 279,4	97,93%
Land area with degraded land cover:	48,0	2,06%

Trends.Earth

- Stability in Cropland class
- A decline in grassland cover



Implementation SLM practices and the achievement of soil and water conservation (SWC) national strategy objectives between 1990 and 2011.

Origin of changes:

- Anthropogenic pressure, settlement, agricultural policies and national development strategies.
- Bio-physical conditions (arid and semi-arid environment)
- Management and overexploitation of natural resources: overgrazing, and expansion of cultivation.

Indicator 2: Land Productivity Trend (1999-2015)



tracking land change

Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

0,000



official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)



tracking land change



tracking land change

official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)



tracking land change

Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

	Area (sq.km)	Percent of Total Land Area
Total land area:	2 327,6	100,00%
Land area with improved productivity:	183,6	7,89%
Land area with stable productivity:	2 127,7	91,41%
Land area with degraded productivity:	15,1	0,65%



- Impacts of seasonal and yearly precipitation
 changes on vegetation covers.
- Anthropic pressures: land use changes (further

degradation in sensitive areas).

Results obtained from **TE** approaches:

□ A stable productivity from 2001 to 2017

Indicator 3: Soil Organic Carbon (SOC)





Soil Organic Carbon Change from Baseline to Target (1999-2015)

	Baseline SOC (tons/ha)	Target SOC (tons/ha)	Baseline area (sq. km)	Target area (sq. km)	Baseline SOC (tons)	Target SOC (tons)	Change in SOC (tons)	Change in SOC (percent)
Tree-covered areas	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0
Grasslands	10,90	10,22	431,45	383,79	470285,75	392306,36	-77979,39	-16,58%
Croplands	13,73	13,73	61,97	61,97	85090,24	85053,62	-36,62	-0,04%
Wetlands	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0
Artificial Areas	14,00	14,00	0,05	0,05	72,84	72,84	0,00	0
Other lands	8,95	8,93	1834,17	1881,82	1641 797,55	1680 215,53	38417,98	2,34%



Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

ble under the terms of tracking land change

Soil Organic Carbon Change (1999-2015)

	Aroo (ca km)	Percent of Total Land
	Area (sq km)	Area
Total land area:	2 327,6	100,00%
Land area with improved soil organic carbon:	0,6	0,03%
Land area with stable soil organic carbon:	2 276,6	97,81%
Land area with degraded soil organic carbon:	49,7	2,14%



SDG 15.3.1 degradation indicator



SDG 15.3.1 degradation indicator Map of "Dhahar-Jeffara"

Created using trends.earth. Projection: decimal degrees, WGS84.



TRENDS: EARTH tracking land change

Copyright © 2017 Conservation International Foundation. Disclaimer: The boundaries and names shown, and the designations used on this map, do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by Conservation International Foundation, or its partner organizations and contributors. This map is available under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

Land Degradation Dynamics

	Area (sq km)	Percent of Total Land Area
Total land area:	2 327,6	100,00%
Land area improved:	178,6	7,68%
Land area stable:	2 074,2	89,11%
Land area degraded:	73,3	3,15%

Combination of the Indicators: LDN Status Assessment

Local LDN Indicators Framework Dhahar-Jeffara transect

	Grassland	Cropland	Wetlands	Bare soil	Artificial areas
Land Cover	Degraded	Stable	Stable	Improved	Stable
Land Productivity	Not degraded	Not degraded	Not degraded	Not degraded	Not degraded
Soil Organic Carbon	Degraded	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable
State	Degraded	Stable	Stable	Stable	Stable



Drivers of Land Degradation

- Land abandonment
- Lack of responsible land use planning
- Exploitation of natural resources (water sources)
- Overgrazing
 Changes in the grassland class
- Climate change (extreme events).
- Soil erosion (water and wind)
- ➤ Salinization
- Unsustainable agricultural practices and techniques



- Geospatial data under GIS Open Source environment
- Analyzing and evaluating LD status
- Weighing the progress towards LDN at a local scale
- Dahar-Jeffara transect:
 - Stable state towards LD in the last 20 years (89,11%).
 - Improved land: 7.68% of total area.
 - Degraded land: 3.15% of the transect area.

- Effective management of LD risks,
- Achieving LDN by planning degradation control and integrating restoration actions
- Track the impact of land use, human activity and development.

Institut des Régions Arides (IRA) Laboratory of Eremology and Combating Desertification El Fje 4119 Medenine - TUNISIA Tel: (+216) 75633005 | Fax: (+216) 75633006 E-mail: essifib@gmail.com | bouajila.essifi@ira.agrinet.tn

