

Operationalising a national programme of landslide susceptibility mapping

J. M. Gautam Dy. Director General (Geotech), Mission-IV Geological Survey of India, CHQ, Kolkata

Workshop on "Use of EO Data in Disaster Management..." (8-11 March 2016, NRSC, Hyderabad)



What are landslides???

... the movement of a mass of rock, debris or earth down a slope due to the action of gravity







Varied magnitudes ... varied extent of effects ... A HAZARD!!!



Society vs. Landslide

Viewed as an individual problem

□ Ignorance/lack of awareness

Informal/formal settlements in hazardous areas

□ Society accept the risk and live with it

□ Makes society more vulnerable

How to manage landslide risk?

GSI's contribution

- Dates back to 1880 (Nainital landslide)
- Pre-disaster studies (for planning & preparedness)
- 1:50/25k landslide susceptibility mapping
- 1:10/5k landslide susceptibility mapping
- Post-disaster studies (for planning & remediation)
- Landslide inventory mapping
- 1:1/2k landslide mapping & slope stability studies
- Monitoring & Early Warning
- Awareness Programme
- Data management & dissemination
- Research & Development

GSI has been declared by GoI as the Nodal Agency for landslide studies w.e.f. 2004



Lesson learnt from recent events

- 2013 Uttarakhand event; 2014 Malin event; 2015 Darjeeling event
- All hill slopes may not be prone to landslides (initiation or run-out) ???
- Magnitude of triggers largely control landslide type, failure mechanism & distribution

□ Many casualties are from new (= one-time) failures

How to reduce landslide risk?



Why NLSM?

- Non-availability of seamless database on landslides
- No Pan-India Landslide Susceptibility Map
 - Retrieval and updation difficult with available analog maps
- □ How to prioritize areas for detailed studies



Objective of NLSM

To prepare GIS-based seamless Landslide Susceptibility Maps using inputs from RS data and fieldwork.

Creation of a national repository on Landslide Inventory

To facilitate easy retrieval, dissemination and updation of landslide susceptibility information

Creation of a dynamic National Landslide Susceptibility Database for India

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA



NLSM Target & Challenges



- o Launched w.e.f. FS 2014-15
- M. Sq Km $_{\odot}$ Target area: 1034 toposheets (0.42
 - M. sq km)
 - Seamless map generation.
 - Inaccessibility, steep topography,
 extreme climate, complicated
 geomorphic and geodynamic set up
 - Different conditions influencing susceptibility
 - Different landslide types and movement



Training & Capacity Building (GHRM Cell)

- A. Brain-storming session
- B. Specialised Training Module
- C. Interactive Orientation

Programmes

MANUAL ON MACRO SCALE LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING



Yule's Co-efficient & LOFS (for Categorical variables)

Pactor class	Sh_rs	Shars		
C	Fe	LOFS	Fc.	LOFS
NNE	-0.112	0	-0.201	0
NE	-0.060	0	-0.151	
ENE	0.081	0.68	.0.210	
ESE	0.119	1.00	-0.028	
SE	0.061	0.52	0.040	0.22
SOUR	0.043	0.36	0.042	0.24
SSW	0.055	0.46	0.378	1.00
577	0.041	0.34	0.107	0.60
WSW	-0.096		0.068	0.38
WNW	-0.128		-0.047	
NW	-0.073		-0.228	
NNN	0.174		0.178	
	Pactor class NNE NE SE SE SE SSW SSM S	Pactor class Nk_rs NNE -6.112 NE -6.002 NE -0.002 SEE -0.002 SSE 0.001 SSW 0.0455 SWW -0.025 SWW -0.046 WNW -0.128 NW -0.128	Prector class N8, rs NNE -0.112 0 NNE -0.017 0 NNE -0.0160 0 NE -0.0160 0 FG -0.019 1.00 FSE 0.019 1.00 SNK 0.043 0.36 SNW 0.052 0.46 SNW 0.041 0.34 NNW -0.026 0.312 NNW -0.128 0 NNW -0.128 0 NNW -0.023 0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$



An Exercise Manual On Training For National Landslide Susceptibility Mapping (NLSM)



GEOHAZARDS RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT (GHRM) CELL GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA, CHQ KOLKATA 82 Officers & 15 Supervisory Officers are now working for NLSM in GSI

Report on the interactive orientation of National Landslide Susceptibility Mapping (NLSM) Programme (FS 2015-16) (11th May to 12th June 2015)

> Geohazards Research & Management (GHRM) Cell GSI, CHQ, Kolkata

As directed by the Director General, GSI, Geohazards Research & Management (GHRM) Cell, GSI, CHQ, Kolkata organised five interactive orientation programmes at different Regions/ SUs between 11th May and 12th June 2015 to facilitate smooth initiation and implementation of 36 items of National Landslide Susceptibility Mapping (NLSM) Programmes of GSI (FS 2015-16). The following five interactive orientation programmes were attended by the 61 field and supervisory level officers who are engaged in the on-going NLSM Programmes in five Regions – Eastern, Northeastern, Northern, Southern and Central (Annexure I).



Photo 1: Interactive orientation of NLSM at SU: K & G, Bangalore

Table 1: Schedule of five interactive orientation programmes

SI No	Dates/ Places	States/ Regions
1	11 ^m - 15 ^m May 2015/ Bangalore	Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Kamataka & Goa, Southern Region
2	19th - 23rd May 2015/ Shillong	Manipur, Nagaland, Mizoram, Tripura & Meghalaya, Northeastern Region
3	25th - 29th May 2015/ Kolkata	Assam and Sikkim, Northeastern Region
4	1" to 5" June 2015/ Kolkata	Maharashtra, Central Region and West Bengal, Eastern Region
5	8 th – 12 th June 2015 / Chandigarh	Jammu & Kashmir, Uttarakhand & Himachal Pradesh, Northern Region



Total NLSM Target = 424.5 (x1000) km ²							
	Prior	rity 1	Priority 2				
	Priority areas v	with settlement	Highly inacce	essible & high			
Torgot	& roads (RS &	detailed Field	altitude areas (Mainly RS with			
Target	wo	ork)	very limited	field checks)			
	Tanaahaat	Area (in 1000	Tapaabaat	Area (in 1000			
	Toposheet	km²)	roposneet	km²)			
NR	158	75.4	207	74.5			
ER	15	2.9	-	-			
NER	233	109.3	167	71.2			
SR	186	62.6	-	-			
CR	68	28.6	-	-			
Total	660	278.8	374	145.7			

Priority 1 is presently in progress by GSI w.e.f. FS 2014-15



NLSM Progress & Perspective Plan (Priority 1)								
State/	Area	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	
Region	(in 1000 km ²)							
NR	75.3	16.48	11.53	12.39	13.02	13.01	8.86	
ER	2.9	0	1.41	1.5	-	-	-	
NER	109.3	0	18.78	21.16	23.12	23.12	23.12	
SR	62.64	0	14.14	12.18	12.11	12.11	12.11	
CR	28.61	0	2.46	4.12	7.34	7.34	7.34	
Total	278.8	16.48	48.32	51.09	55.59	55.59	51.43	
Cum target		16.48	64.8	116.15	171.74	227.32	278.8	
Cum % of t	arget	6%	23%	42%	62%	82%	100%	
	Already comp	pleted in FS	2014-15					
	Currently und	ler executio	on in FS 201	5-16				
	Proposed for	FS 2016-17	; awaiting a	pproval at	CGPB Mee	eting, Febr	uary 2016	
	Perspective P	lan (for FS	2017-18, 18	-19 & 19-2	0)			









A REAL SUBRICE OF

भारतीय भूवैज्ञानिक सर्वेक्षण Geological Survey of India

NLSM Modeling in landslide dominant areas (e.g., Himalayas)



Geofactor themes used

Slope Aspect Curvature Land use/ cover Geomorphology Slope Forming Material (SFM) Thickness Proximity to drainage Proximity to roads Proximity to faults/ fractures

&

Landslide inventory maps

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF INDIA



NLSM Modeling in landslide deficient areas (e.g., South India)



Geofactor themes used

Slope Aspect Curvature Land use/ cover Geomorphology Slope Forming Material (SFM) Depth Proximity to drainage Proximity to roads Proximity to faults/ fractures





Processes or steps for each NLSM

- I. Preparation of Landslide Spatial Database
- II. Preparation of Geofactor Spatial Database
- **III.** Determining Rating and Weight of Geofactors
- IV. Integration, validation & predictive modeling of landslide susceptibility



I. Preparation of Landslide Spatial Database

Source: Multiple



Visual Interpretation of high-resolution imagery

Mapping landslides water Multi-temporal RS data of Geologidalple time (2) (Main Source: NRSC)







Using high-resolution imagery of different temporal period













Adding attributes of landslides in GIS (41-point Geoparametric Data Format)

Shapefile Properties



General XY Coordinate System Fields Indexes

	Field Name	Data Type	-
	FID	Object ID	
	Shape	Geometry	
	Х	Double	
	Y	Double	
	ld	Text	
	Material	Text	
	Movement	Text	
	Lengh	Short Integer	
	Width	Short Integer	
	Activity	Text 🔻	1
			-
\vdash			-11

Click any field to see its properties.

-Field Properties -----

Length	50	
		Import

To add a new field, type the name into an empty row in the Field Name column, dick in the Data Type column to choose the data type, then edit the Field Properties.

OK

Cancel

Apply



Combining landslide data mapped from different sources



- Open ArcMap and open RSpoly.shp
- In Arc Toolbox click and expand Conversion Tools and then To Raster
- Click Polygon to Raster
- Input Feature: add RSPoly
- Value Field: add Id or FID
- Output Raster Dataset: ...\NLSM\Landslide\RSPoly.img
- Cell size: 50
- Right Click raster RSPoly, click Open Attribute Table. Attribute table of RSPoly opened.
- In Arc Toolbox again click and expand Conversion Tools and then From Rs and then click Raster to Point
- Input Raster: RSPoly; Output Point Feature: RSPolypt
- In Arc Toolbox click and expand Data Management Tools and then General then click Merge
- In Merge dialog box add both the datasets RSPolypt and ls_pt
- Output Dataset: ...\NLSM\Landslide\lsm.shp. merged landslide file lsm.shp is combined landslide Point Data for use in subsequent analysis

Preparation of landslide data (as points) for analysis & modeling (use of merging...)







II. Preparation of Geofactor Thematic Maps

Source: Multiple



SI	Thematic	Geofactor	Thematic classes	Data type
No	group	themes		
1.	Slope	Slope	Reclassified as 5 degree	Continuous
	morphometry	Gradient	intervals	
2.		Curvature	Classified into 5 to 6 classes	Categorical
3.		Aspect	NNE, NE, ENE, ESE, SE, SSE,	Categorical
			SSW, SW, WSW, WNW, NW &	
			NNW	

Source: 30 m resolution ASTER DEM or 10 m resolution CartoDEM after getting the layers from NRSC

4.	Geomorphology	Geomorphology	Colluvial fan, Alluvial fan,	Categorical
			Alluvial plain, Intermontane	
		(Base 1:50K	plateau, Lowly dissected	
		NGLM layer to	valley, Moderately dissected	
		be provided	valley , Highly dissected valley	
		by GHRM Cell;	, Ridge and spur, Old river	
1		Data for	terrace, Denudational valley	
		Target areas	and niche, Steep	
		of FS 2014-15	escarpments, etc.	
		already		
		procured)		
5.	Slope forming	Material	Scree , Regolith, Lithomarge,	Categorical
	material		Laterite, Alluvium mixed with	
		(1:50K	colluvium, Colluvium, Talus,	
		Geology map	Alluvium, Older well	
		already	compacted debris, Younger	
		procured to be	loose debris material,	
		provided by	Different rock types (Fresh),	
		GHRM Cell)	Different rock types	
			(weathered) etc.	



6.	Slope	Thickness	0 -1 m	Categorical			
	forming		>1 m & <=				
	material		>5 m & <=				
			>10 m & <				
			> 20 m				
7.	Structure	Fault/	Distance	to	Fault/	Continuous	or
		Fracture	Fracture			Categorical	
8.		Regional	Distance	to	Regional	Continuous	or
		Thrust/	Thrust/ Shear			Categorical	
		Shear					

Fault/ Fracture and Regional Thrust will be available from legacy maps (1:50K Geology and NGLM layers)



9.	Land use/	Land use/	Barren, Agricultural land	Categorical
	cover	cover types	Plantation, Settlement	
			Moderately vegetated	
			forest, Thick forest,	
			Sparsely vegetated	
			forest etc.	
10.		Major roads	Distance to road	Continuous or
				Categorical
11.	Geo-	Drainage	Distance to Drainage	Continuous or
	hydrology			Categorical

LU LC pre-field maps can be prepared using Toposheet/ available satellite imagery, Google Earth Data through visual interpretation only



DEM Data



- Preparation of Slope Inclination Raster
 - 5. Select "Spatial Analyst Tool" from the Toolbox Menu
 - 6. Then expand it by clicking the "+" button on the left of the "Spatial Analyst Tool"
 - Further expand "Surface" in the similar manner within expanded "Spatial Analys Tool"
 - 8. Click "Slope" tool within "Surface"







Slope







Aspect











Map

Prepared using Slope, Geomorphology, LU LC, Geology maps as Proxies















Updating Fault/ Fracture Map





Geofactor Spatial Database







III. Determination of Rating & Weights



Conceptual Model







> Yule's Co-efficient (Yule, 1912; Fleiss, 1991; Bonham-Carter, 1994)



T = 2945; O = 727



T = 2945; I = 486

- *O* = known geo-object of interest
- I = indicator (or evidence) pattern
- T = study area



- $I \cap O = T_{11} = 345$ $I \cap \overline{O} = T_{21} = 141$ $\overline{I} \cap O = T_{12} = 382$ $\overline{I} \cap \overline{O} = T_{22} = 2077$
- $T_{11} \rightarrow$ derived from cross operation ■ $T_{12} = O - T_{11}$ ■ $T_{21} = I - T_{11}$ ■ $T_{22} = T - T_{11} - T_{12} - T_{21}$

Note: an example of I is <u>a</u> slope aspect class







Which Geomorphology classes have positive spatial association with landslides

Analysis Table

Histogram Table of Geomorphology Theme (NpixC)

T12 = NpixLS - T11

Cross Table of Geomorphology and Training Landslide Data (T11)

Dependent Table "GeomXLS" - TableCross(Geomorphology.mpr,LS.mpr,IgnoreUndefs) - ILWIS

Highly Dissected Hills and Valleys * Highly Dissec Slide

Lowly Dissected Hills and Valleys * Lowly Dissect Slide

Moderately Dissected Hills and Valle Moderately Di Slide

File Edit Columns Records View Help

Active Flood Plain * Slide

Older Flood Plain * Slide

Landslide * Slide

River * Slide

- -

Area

24300

26100

2853000

1544400

2316600

239400

4500

NPix

27

29

3170

1716

2574

5

266

Histogram "Geomorphology" - TableHistogram(Geomorphology.mpr) -	ILWIS					1
File Edit Columns Records View Help						
		npix	npixpct	pctnotund	Area	
200000-	Active Flood Plain	227078	28.98	29.71	204370200	
A 10000	Highly Dissected Hills and Valleys	222887	28.44	29.16	200598300	
	Landslide	905	0.12	0.12	814500	
bg 100000-	Lowly Dissected Hills and Valleys	115470	14.74	15.11	103923000	
Ē 50000-	Moderately Dissected Hills and Valleys	141876	18.11	18.56	127688400	
	Older Flood Plain	18936	2.42	2.48	17042400	
N 6 M 6 6 N M	River	37129	4.74	4.86	33416100	
100d Plan Valley and valley valley valley Rive						
alve Fluills are unis are unis ander Flu	Min	905	0.12	0.12	814500	
Act cled the cled the old	Max	227078	28.98	29.71	204370200	
nisee nisee	Avg	109183	13.94	14.29	98264700	
N Why all the second second	StD	93983	11.99	12.29	84584531	
L'Nodelle	Sum	764281	97.55	100.00	687852900	
<i>le</i> .	<					

T21 = NpixC - T11

T22 = NpixT - T11 - T12 - T21

Landslide

River

Geomorphology LS

Active Flood Slide

Older Flood P Slide

Slide

Slide

	NpixC	NpixT	T11	T21	NpixLS	T12	T22	Yc	LOFS
Active Flood Plain	227078	764281	27	227051	7787	7760	529443	-0.835	0.00
Highly Dissected Hills and Val	222887	764281	3170	219717	7787	4617	536777	0.129	0.45
Landslide	905	764281	29	876	7787	7758	755618	0.285	1.00
Lowly Dissected Hills and Val	115470	764281	1716	113754	7787	6071	642740	0.117	0.41
Moderately Dissected Hills and	141876	764281	2574	139302	7787	5213	617192	0.193	0.68
Older Flood Plain	18936	764281	5	18931	7787	7782	737563	-0.727	0.00
River	37129	764281	266	36863	7787	7521	719631	-0.092	0.00
	Ì	İ	1		Ì				İ
Min	905	764281	5	876	7787	4617	529443	-0.835	0.00
Max	227078	764281	3170	227051	7787	7782	755618	0.285	1.00
Avg	109183	764281	1112	108071	7787	6675	648423	-0.133	0.36
StD	93983	0	1356	93217	0	1356	93217	0.458	0.39
Sum	764281	5349967	7787	756494	54509	46722	4538964	-0.931	2.54

Yc = (SQRT(T11/T21)-SQRT(T12/T22))/(SQRT(T11/T21)+SQRT(T12/T22))







Inter-predictor Weights

	А	В	С	D	E	F	
1	Theme	MinYC/MinDiff	Max YC/ MaX Diff	Index	Weight	IntWt	
2	Material	-0.831	0.461	1.292	1.0	10	
3	Geom	-0.835	0.285	1.12	0.9	9	
4	Slope	0	0.35	0.35	0.3	3	
5	Aspect	-0.087	0.132	0.219	0.2	2	
6	Depth	-0.824	0.247	1.071	0.8	8	
7	Curvature	-0.113	0.201	0.314	0.2	2	
8	Dist_Drainage	0	0.23	0.23	0.2	2	
9	Dist_Shear	-0.01	0.19	0.2	0.2	2	
10	Dist_Road	0	0.12	0.12	0.1	1	
11	Dist_Frac	0	0.09	0.09	0.1	1	
12						39	



IV. Integration, Validation & Creation of Susceptibility Maps



Weighted Multi-class Index Overlay

$\overline{S} = \sum_{i}^{n} (LOFS_{ii} \times W_{i}) / \sum_{i}^{n} W_{i}$

Susc=(10*LOFS_mat+9*LOFS_Geom+3*LOFS_Slope+ 2*LOFS_Asp+8*LOFS_Depth+2*LOFS_curv+2*LOFS_Dr +2*LOFS_SH+1*LOFS_Rd+1*LOFS_frac)/39





Susceptibility Score Map





Validation



Susceptibility Score Map

Cross or Overlay





Table "Success" - Susccess Rate & Prediction Rate - ILWIS												
File Edit Columns Records View Help												
	ⓑ ⓑ X 🚭 🖆 🗜 🗠 🕨 ◀ 🖽 ➤ ▶											
	Susc	npixc	npixcc	npixt	Propec	npixls	npixlscc	npixs	Success			
1	0.85	70876	70876	760000	0.09	1893	2 1892	7782	0.24			
2	0.46	69873	140749	760000	0.19	136	4 3256	7782	0.42			
3	0.43	70554	211303	760000	0.28	108	7 4343	7782	0.56			
4	0.41	86070	297373	760000	0.39	1262 5605		7782	0.72			
5	0.39	82956	380329	760000	0.50	D BropCC x Success						
6	0.37	72246	452575	760000	0.60		Г 	Topoo x Success				
7	0.34	78646	531221	760000	0.70	1.0						
8	0.15	60801	592022	760000	0.78							
9	0.12	89599	681621	760000	0.90	0.9						
10	0.08	78379	760000	760000	1.00	0.0						
						0.8		/				
						0.7	 					
						0.7						
						0.6	//		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						e so						
Min		60801	70876	760000	0.09	<u>ğ</u> 0.5						
Max		89599	760000	760000	1.00	<u>м</u>		Prop	C x pred			
Avq		76000	411807	760000	0.54	0.4		Prop	CC x Success			
StD		8730	232482	0	0.31							
Sum		760000	4118069	7600000	5.43	0.3	1					
-			1			0.2	<u> </u>					
						V.2						
	Suc	cess & P	rediction F	0.1								
(Chung & Fabbri, 1999)							01 02 02 0					
						0.0	0.1 0.2 0.3 0	PropCC	0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0			

Table "Success" - Susccess Rate & Prediction Rate - ILWIS											
File Edit Columns Records View Help											
	Susc	npixc	npixcc	npix	t	Propec	npixls	npixlscc	npixs	Succes	33
1	0.85	70876	70876	76	60000	0.09	1892	1892	7782		0.24
2	0.46	69873	140749	760000		0.19	1364	3256	7782		0.42
3	0.43	70554	211303	760000		0.28	1087	4343	7782		0.56
4	0.41	86070	297373	70	60000	0.39	1262	5605	7782		0.72
5	0.39	82956	380329	760000		0.50	1028	6633	7782		0.85
6	0.37	72246	452575	760000		0.60	722	7355	7782		0.95
7	0.34	78646	531221	760000		0.70	143	7498	7782		0.96
8	0.15	60801	592022	760000		0.78	70	7568	7782		0.97
9	0.12	89599	681621	760000		0.90	125	7693	7782		0.99
10	0.08	78379	760000	76	60000	1.00	89	7782	7702		1 00
		Record view for table Success.tbt									
							4			i De	oma
					Susc	0.4	1			-	
					npixc		86070				
				-	npixco	c .	297373			F:1_	E J
Min		60801	70876	7	npixt		760000		77	гне	EQ
Max		89599	760000	7	Prope	c	0.39		77		
Avg		76000	411807	7	npixl	3	1262		77		_
StD		8730	232482		npixl	всс	5605			- Noo	cript
Sum	1	760000	4118069	76	npixs		7782		778	063	CULA
					Succes	33	0.72	-	- H		_
Minimum of values in column: npixs: npixs = aggsum(npixls)				ds)	1						- CD-

Classification to prepare qualitative landslide susceptibility map







Final landslide susceptibility map





Landslide hazard

□ According to Varnes (1984) & UNESCO's IAEG Commission on landslides and other mass movements and Guzzetti (1999), "landslide hazard" is defined as the probability of occurrence of a damaging landslide of a certain magnitude in a given area and in a given period of time.

□ Therefore, landslide hazard in a given area is a function of three parameters, namely, **spatial**, **temporal** and **magnitude** probabilities of landslide occurrence.



Landslide hazard Analysis

- □ **Spatial Prediction**: Where will a landslide occur ???
- **Temporal Prediction**: When or How often will it occur ???
- □ Magnitude Prediction: How large or how big that

landslide/ the landslide event could be ???

Landslide Susceptibility Analysis/ Mapping (LSA/ LSM)

To predict/ determine the spatial locations of future landslides... a pre-requisite for hazard analysis

Regional landslide hazard mitigation: A Way Forward

- □ Identify the most appropriate geofactors for multi-scale landslide susceptibility analysis
- □ Identify landslide susceptibility scenarios based on landslide failure mechanisms, magnitudes and triggers.
- Developing methodologies to convert landslide susceptibility maps into true hazard and risk maps in a data-scarce environment.
- Developing Regional Early Warning System (EWS) for landslide hazards using InSAR and through threshold modeling of climatic triggers
- □ Quantifying the effect and extent of landslide susceptibility owing to rapid land use changes.



Site-specific landslide hazard mitigation: A Way Forward

- Deterministic slope stability modeling aiming at to model variable hydrologic situations
- Long-term instrument-aided monitoring and development of thresholds
- Designing relevant retaining structures based on different slope stability conditions and scenarios
 Rockfall stability modeling and designing the relevant rockfall retaining structures



THANK YOU



