ICG Experts Meeting on GNSS Services, 14-18 December 2015, Vienna, Austria



Analysis and Simulation of GNSS for Peru

Dr. Fredy M. Villanueva

Space Agency of Peru marcell385@yahoo.com **Capt. Winston R. Lastra**

Peruvian Air Force wlastra@hotmail.com

1

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Research Objective
- 3. Theoretical background
- 4. Modeling and simulation
- 5. Results
- 6. Conclusions



1. INTRODUCTION



Introduction

- Peru is a small country located in western South America with the main economic activities:
 - Mining industry (mainly contributing to GDP)
 - Gas and Oil
 - Forestry
 - Agriculture
 - Fishery
 - Others











Introduction

- The visibility of satellites with different mask angles represent one of the method of characterization of the robustness of the constellation.
- Many surveying environments, like in urban canyons, deep open-pit mines and valleys, limit the number of visible satellites, deteriorating the survey accuracy and therefore a single satellite positioning system hardly satisfies the requirements.



The multipath signal is a delayed and attenuated copy of the direct signal (it can be several multipath signals)

Introduction

- Currently, in South America, two satellite navigation systems are available to civilian users, GLONASS and GPS. Simultaneously tracking both GPS and GLONASS satellites increases the number of satellites available for receivers to track by posing both satellite constellations.
- However the BeiDou (DBS) is a navigation system in development by China, that will be complete deployed by 2020. which represent an additional oportunity to satisfy the user requirement in terms of reduction of times and get more precision in measurements.
- In Peru there are numerous companies that provide services Surveying, mapping, mining, among others, for which measurement equipment used looking for the best accuracy for the realization of projects.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE



Research Objective

• The main objective of this research is the analysis of the visibility of the satellites and estimate the DOP values obtained by simulation of the GPS, BDS and the GPS+BDS (combined) constellation for Peru.

Research content:

- 1) Analyze the satellites visibility of GPS;
- 2 Analyze the DOP of GPS;
- 3 Analyze the satellites visibility of BDS+GPS (Combined);
- 4 Analyze the DOP of BDS+GPS (Combined);

NOTE: In all the cases the analysis were in Peru

3. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND



Research Consideration

Operation of a GNSS system

- The main sources causing positioning errors are:
 - Ionospheric delays
 - Errors Watch of Satellite and Receiver
 - Multipath effect
 - Dilution of Precision

| Error source | Potential error, m | Typical error, m | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| lonosphere | 5.0 | 0.4 | |
| Troposphere | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| Ephemeris data | 2.5 | 0 | |
| Satellite clock drift | 1.5 | 0 | |
| Multipath | 0.6 | 0.6 | |
| Measurement noise | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| Total | ~ 15 | ~ 10 | |



Not considered in this analysis

Dilution of Precision

- Dilution of Precision (DOP) is a dimensionless value that describes the 'solidity' of the observable figure distanced metrically, consisting of the receptor and vectors that determines the receiver with the satellites in view.
- The satellite geometry plays a very important role in the total positioning accuracy. The better the satellite geometry strength, better is the positioning accuracy.
- Good satellite geometry is obtained when the satellites are properly distributed in the sky. The satellite geometry effect can be measured by a single dimensionless number.



Dilution of Precision

Types of DOP:

- GDOP Provides accuracy degradation in 3D position and time
- TDOP Provides accuracy degradation in time
- PDOP Provides accuracy degradation in 3D position
- VDOP Provides accuracy degradation in vertical direction
- HDOP Provides accuracy degradation in the horizontal direction
- When visible navigation satellites are close together in the sky, the geometry is said to be weak and the DOP value is high; when far apart, the geometry is strong and the DOP value is low.
- Thus a low DOP value represents a better positional precision due to the wider angular separation between the satellites used to calculate a unit's position.

x, y, zPosition of the receiver x_i, y_i, y_i Position of the satellite

$$R_i = \sqrt{(x_i - x)^2 + (y_i - y)^2 + (z_i - z)^2}$$

Geometric Dilution of Precision:

$$GDOP = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_z^2 + \sigma_t^2}$$

Time Dilution of Precision:

$$TDOP = \sqrt{\sigma_t^2}$$

Position Dilution of Precision:

$$PDOP = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_z^2}$$

Horizontal Dilution of Precision:

$$HDOP = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}$$

Vertical Dilution of Precision:

$$VDOP = \sqrt{\sigma_z^2}$$

Covariance Matrix

$$cov(x) = (A^T \cdot A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{xt} \\ \sigma_{yx} & \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{yz} & \sigma_{yt} \\ \sigma_{zx} & \sigma_{zy} & \sigma_z^2 & \sigma_{zt} \\ \sigma_{xt} & \sigma_{yt} & \sigma_{zt} & \sigma_t^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

| DOP value | Rating |
|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | Ideal |
| 1-2 | Excellent |
| 2-5 | Good |
| 5-10 | Moderate |
| 10-20 | Fair |
| > 20 | Poor |

Note:
$$PDOP^2 = HDOP^2 + VDOP^2$$

 $GDOP^2 = PDOP^2 + TDOP^2$ 13

4. MODELING AND SIMULATION



Modeling and Simulation

4.1 Analysis and Study Tools

- STK Object Model (AGI) and
- Matlab (Mathworks).

| System | : GPS (31) and BDS (35) |
|------------------|---|
| Time and Date | : 23-12-2014 0:24h (temporal variation) |
| Interval Time | :5 min x 288 = 1440 min (24hours) |
| Cutoff elevation | : 5°, 10°, 15° and 20° |
| Receiver type | : Trimble R7 in Peru |
| Baseline model | : Geometry Free |
| Locations | : Lima (Peru) |
| | 12°29'07.81826'' S, |
| | 76°47'49.02099'' W |
| Outputs | : Number of Satellites, GDOP, PDOP, TDOP, HDOP and VDOP |

Modeling and Simulation: PRN coding

4.2. Insert the PRN CODE for each satellite Constellation

- Allocation of the PRN code for each satellite of the constellation.
- Ephemeris data.

| | Start: 6 Mai 2015 04:00:00.0001 | X 🖻 🖻 🗙 🕅 | - III 🖉 🖉 🗛 🖻 🛒 🔊 | 🗿 🧭 Jump To: To | p | - | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| GPS_2R-14_28874 GPS_2R-15_29486 GPS_2R-16_29601 | Satellite-GPS_2R-14_ | BD-R | Start: 14 Mar 2014 04:00:00.000 UTCG | Stop: 21 Mar 201 | 4 04:00:00.000 UTCG | Step: 300 sec | |
| <pre>% GPS_2R-17_32260 % GPS_2R-18_32384 % GPS_2R-19_32711 % GPS_2R-21_35752 % GPS_BIIA-10_20959</pre> | Time (UTCG) 6 Mar 2015 04:00:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:05:00. | PRN1 PRN10 PRN11 PRN12 PRN13 | Satellite-PRN1: Fixed Pos Time (UTCG) | ition & Velocity x (km) | у (km) | z (km) | v |
| <pre></pre> | 6 Mar 2015 04:10:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:15:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:20:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:25:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:25:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:35:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:45:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:45:00. 6 Mar 2015 04:55:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:00:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:00:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:15:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:15:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:25:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:25:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:25:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:30:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:30:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:35:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:30:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:30:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:30:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:40:00. 6 Mar 2015 05:40:00. 7 Mar 200. 7 Mar 200. 7 Mar 200. 7 Mar 200. 7 Mar 200. 7 Mar | PRN14 PRN15 PRN16 PRN17 PRN18 PRN19 PRN2 PRN20 PRN21 PRN21 PRN221 PRN223 PRN23 PRN24 PRN25 PRN25 PRN26 PRN27 PRN28 | E 14 Mar 2014 04:00:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:05:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:10:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:15:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:25:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:25:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:30:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:35:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:45:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:55:00.000 14 Mar 2014 04:55:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:00:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:00:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:15:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:15:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:15:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:25:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:25:00.000 14 Mar 2014 05:25:00.000 | -32299.643364 -32299.642732 -32299.642732 -32299.642415 -32299.642097 -32299.641777 -32299.641455 -32299.641130 -32299.640801 -32299.640469 -32299.640132 -32299.639791 -32299.639791 -32299.639092 -32299.638733 -32299.638368 -32299.637996 -32299.637961 | 27102.618833 27102.619530 27102.62038 27102.620957 27102.621689 27102.623186 27102.623186 27102.623186 27102.625516 27102.625516 27102.626315 27102.627124 27102.627944 27102.628774 27102.629614 27102.631323 27102.631323 27102.632191 | 29,199260 28,900509 28,587928 28,261668 27,921883 27,568737 27,202398 26,823043 26,430851 26,026012 25,608719 25,179171 24,737574 24,284139 23,819084 23,342630 22,855006 22,356446 | |

Modeling and Simulation: GPS

4.3 Modeling the GPS Constellation

- GPS constellation
 - 31 satellites not evenly distributed within the planes
 - 6 orbital planes

- Altitude: 20200 km
- Period: 11h58 min
- Inclination: 55 deg
- Eccentricity: 0.009





17

GPS constellation

Modeling and Simulation: BDS

4.4 Modeling the BDS Constellation

- BDS Constellation
- 35 satellites, which include
 - -5 geostationary orbit (GEO) satellites and
 - -30 medium Earth orbit (MEO) satellites,

- Altitude: 21527 km
- Period: 12h53min
- Inclination: 55 deg
- Eccentricity: 0.0004



BDS Constellation

BDS ground track of 35 satellites

18

Modeling and Simulation: BDS+GPS

4.4.3 Modeling the combined BDS+GPS Constellation

- BDS+GPS Constellation
- 66 satellites, which includes
 - -35 BDS satellites and
 - -31 GPS satellites,



BDS+GPS Constellation



BDS+GPS ground track of 66 satellites 19

5. RESULTS



5.1. Satellite visibility for GPS constellation

| M.A. | 5 | 5 ° | 1 | 0° | 1 | 5° | 2 | 0° | |
|---------|-----|------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|---|
| N.of S. | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % | 160 Maskangle 5° |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.35 | 140 - Maskangle 10° - Maskangle 10° - Maskangle 15° |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 1.39 | 120 - Maskangle 20° |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 7 | 2.44 | 55 | 19.16 | |
| 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 34 | 11.85 | 40 | 13.94 | 100 |
| 7 | 4 | 1.39 | 33 | 11.50 | 85 | 29.62 | 84 | 29.27 | |
| 8 | 29 | 10.10 | 69 | 24.04 | 51 | 17.77 | 38 | 13.24 | |
| 9 | 56 | 19.51 | 87 | 30.31 | 65 | 22.65 | 56 | 19.51 | F 60- |
| 10 | 53 | 18.47 | 38 | 13.24 | 31 | 10.80 | 9 | 3.14 | |
| 11 | 64 | 22.30 | 35 | 12.20 | 9 | 3.14 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| 12 | 47 | 16.38 | 23 | 8.01 | 5 | 1.74 | 0 | 0.00 | 20- |
| 13 | 24 | 8.36 | 1 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| 14 | 8 | 2.79 | 1 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| 15 | 2 | 0.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | Number of visible satellites |

Satellite visibility percentage in Peru for GPS

Number of satellites visibility in Peru for GPS

The number of Satellites in view for GPS constellation with 5°,10°,15° and 20° mask angle

5.1. Satellite visibility for BDS constellation

| M.A. | 5° | | 10° | | 15° | | 20° | |
|----------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| N. of S. | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.35 | 66 | 23.00 |
| 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 17 | 5.92 | 90 | 31.36 | 102 | 35.54 |
| 7 | 0 | 0.00 | 31 | 10.80 | 43 | 14.98 | 75 | 26.13 |
| 8 | 10 | 3.48 | 77 | 26.83 | 121 | 42.16 | 42 | 14.63 |
| 9 | 106 | 36.93 | 120 | 41.81 | 32 | 11.15 | 2 | 0.70 |
| 10 | 122 | 42.51 | 41 | 14.29 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 15 | 49 | 17.07 | 1 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |



Satellite visibility percentage in Peru for BDS

Number of satellites visibility in Peru for BDS

The number of Satellites in view for BDS constellation with 5°,10°,15° and 20° mask angle

5.1. Satellite visibility for combined BDS+GPS constellation

| M.A. | | 5° | 1 | 0° | 1 | 5° | 2 | 0° | 400 |
|---------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|--|
| N.of S. | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % | SAT | % | |
| 7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 2.09 | Maskangle 5° |
| 8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 16 | 5.57 | 100 - Maskangle 10 |
| 9 | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 1.39 | 25 | 8.71 | 28 | 9.76 | Maskangle 20' |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 8 | 2.79 | 42 | 14.63 | 80- |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00 | 20 | 6.97 | 17 | 5.92 | 46 | 16.03 | |
| 12 | 0 | 0.00 | 10 | 3.48 | 53 | 18.47 | 62 | 21.60 | Š. |
| 13 | 2 | 0.70 | 19 | 6.62 | 38 | 13.24 | 20 | 6.97 | |
| 14 | 11 | 3.83 | 30 | 10.45 | 49 | 17.07 | 42 | 14.63 | |
| 15 | 32 | 11.15 | 52 | 18.12 | 36 | 12.54 | 12 | 4.18 | 40- |
| 16 | 18 | 6.27 | 53 | 18.47 | 45 | 15.68 | 11 | 3.83 | |
| 17 | 57 | 19.86 | 30 | 10.45 | 4 | 1.39 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| 18 | 54 | 18.82 | 45 | 15.68 | 12 | 4.18 | 2 | 0.70 | |
| 19 | 44 | 15.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| 20 | 54 | 18.82 | 24 | 8.36 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 Number of visible satellites |

Satellite visibility percentage in Peru for combined GPS+BDS

Number of satellites visibility in Peru for combined GPS+BDS

The number of Satellites in view for GPS+BDS constellation with 5°,10°,15° and 20° mask angle

5.1.1 Satellite visibility Analysis

- The satellite's visible number of combined GPS+BDS is more than GPS most of the time.
- The visibility of combined GPS+BDS is better than GPS.
- The percentage of time with no less than 6 satellites of combined GPS+BDS is 100%, and that of GPS is 83.33% under 15° mask angle.

| GNSS | MA | min | max | mean | success rate |
|---------|----|-----|-----|------|-----------------|
| | 5 | 7 | 15 | 11 | 100% |
| CDC | 10 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 100% |
| GPS | 15 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 100% |
| | 20 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 100% |
| | 5 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 100% |
| BDC | 10 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 100% |
| DD2 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 100% |
| | 20 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 100% |
| | 5 | 13 | 20 | 17 | 100% |
| | 10 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 100% |
| GPS+DDS | 15 | 9 | 18 | 14 | 100% |
| | 20 | 7 | 18 | 13 | 100% |
| | | | | | |

5.2.2. DOP values for GPS constellation

| GNSS | MA | GDOP | PDOP | HDOP | VDOP | TDOP |
|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| GPS | 5° | 1.79 | 1.61 | 0.76 | 1.26 | 0.79 |
| | 10° | 2.18 | 1.92 | 0.88 | 1.5 | 1.02 |
| | 15° | 2.77 | 2.39 | 1.05 | 1.85 | 1.4 |
| | 20° | 3.94 | 3.3 | 1.27 | 2.48 | 2.14 |
| | | | | | | |

5.2. DOP values Analysis







5.2.2. DOP values for BDS constellation

| GNSS | MA | GDOP | PDOP | HDOP | VDOP | TDOP |
|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| BDS | 5° | 1.84 | 1.66 | 0.74 | 1.32 | 0.79 |
| | 10° | 2.31 | 2.04 | 0.86 | 1.61 | 1.07 |
| | 15° | 2.95 | 2.56 | 1.03 | 2.01 | 1.47 |
| | 20° | 3.73 | 3.18 | 1.25 | 2.49 | 1.94 |
| | | | | | | |

5.2. DOP values Analysis







5.2.2. DOP values for combined BDS+GPS constellation

| GNSS | MA | GDOP | PDOP | HDOP | VDOP | TDOP |
|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 5° | 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.5 | 0.85 | 0.51 |
| | 10° | 1.44 | 1.28 | 0.57 | 1.01 | 0.67 |
| CUDTCUS | 15° | 1.75 | 1.52 | 0.66 | 1.2 | 0.86 |
| | 20° | 2.15 | 1.83 | 0.76 | 1.45 | 1.12 |
| | | | | | | |

5.2.1. DOP values Analysis





Cumulative probability distribution of GDOP, PDOP, HDOP, VDOP and TDOP under different mask angles.



5.2.3. DOP values Analysis

• From the tables we can see that 100% of the DOP values obtained using the combined GPS+BDS systems, are less than the DOP values obtained with the GPS system.

6. CONCLUSIONS



Conclusions

The presented results shown that the combined BDS+GPS constellation provides:

- **Higher availability:** up to 15 satellites were visible compared to 10 in some cases of GPS only;
- **Better geometry:** the PDOP for the combined GPS+BDS constellation was lower than the PDOP for each individual constellation;
- **Better precision:** the precisions of GPS are slightly better than that of BDS in both horizontal and vertical direction, but the combined GPS+BDS constellation got the best performance in all direction.
- The combined GPS+BDS constellation opens an additional possibility to improve the service in the territory of Peru,

Thank you