

# Real-time ionosphere monitoring by three-dimensional tomography over Japan

<sup>1\*</sup>Susumu Saito, <sup>2,†</sup>Shota Suzuki, <sup>2</sup>Mamoru Yamamoto, <sup>3</sup>Chia-Hun Chen, and <sup>4</sup>Akinori Saito <sup>1</sup>Electronic Navigation Research Institute, Japan <sup>2</sup>Research Institute of Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University, Japan <sup>3</sup>National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan <sup>4</sup>Department of Science, Kyoto University, Japan

> <sup>†</sup>Now at SQUARE ENIX Co. Ltd. ION GNSS+2016, Portland, 13-16 September 2016

### **Ionospheric density profile measurements**

 3-D ionospheric density profiles are very useful for radio applications (such as communications or GNSS augmentation) as well as ionospheric sciences.

#### Ionosonde: Classic simple device Bottomside profiles only

ENRI











Incoherent scatter radar:
 Very powerful, various
 parameters can be derived.
 Extremely expensive

#### ION GNSS+2016, Portland, 13-16 September 2016



### **GNSS** tomography

 GNSS tomography is a powerful technique to reconstruct 3-D ionospheric density profiles from total electron content (TEC)measurements.



 Objectives: Make 3-D ionospheric density profiles available by tomography in real-time

### **Real-time ionosphere monitoring**





Real-time 2-D ionosphere disturbance monitoring [Saito et al., ION ITM 2014]

- ENRI has developed a real-time 2-D ionospheric disturbance monitoring system using real-time data from 200 selected GEONET stations. [Saito et al., ION ITM 2014]
  - can be expanded to a real-time 3-D ionospheric tomography system



#### ION GNSS+2016, Portland, 13-16 September 2016



#### **Tomographic reconstruction volume**



#### **Realtime tomography results**









#### **Validation results**



ION GNSS+2016, Portland, 13-16 September 2016



#### **Real-time web interface**



- Preliminary real-time web interface
  - On-demand plotting of zonal, meridional, and horizontal cross sections and vertical profile

http://www.enri.go.jp/cnspub/tomo3/plotting.html

ION GNSS+2016, Portland, 13-16 September 2016

#### 2016年4月からリアルタイムサービスを開始 http://www.enri.go.jp/cnspub/tomo3/





#### **Potential use of tomography**

- Ionospheric science
  - 3-D structure of traveling ionospheric disturbances (TIDs)
  - Ionospheric climatology with tomography of archived GEONET data
- Engineering application
  - Better ionospheric correction for single-frequency GNSS
  - HF radio wave propagation prediction



#### Summary

- Real-time 3-D ionospheric tomography system over Japan has been developed.
  - Every 15min with about 10min latency
- Tomography results are validated with independent measurements
  - In good agreement
  - More validation works planned
- Scientific and engineering applications are provisioned.

Acknowledgment: This work is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research JP2663018

# A new ionospheric storm scale (I-scale)



"A new ionospheric storm scale based on TEC and foF2 statistics", M. Nishioka, T. Tsugawa, H. Jin and M. Ishii, accepted to *Space Weather* 

# **Motivation**



TEC in the Japanese sector during the St Patrick's day storm Observation median of 27 days

- Ionospheric storms have no clear definition.
- Ionospheric parameters largely depend on local time, season, and latitude.
- It is necessary to investigate the ionospheric parameters statistically in order to define an universal ionospheric scale.

# Data set and methodology

[Data Set]

> 15-minute TEC for 18 years from 1997 to 2014 (TEC<sub>obs</sub>).

[Methodology]

Percentage deviation of TEC from the reference, P<sub>TEC</sub>, is used to describe ionospheric state.

 $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{TEC}} = \frac{\mathsf{TEC}_{\mathsf{obs}} - \mathsf{TEC}_{\mathsf{ref}}}{\mathsf{TEC}_{\mathsf{ref}}}$ 

- > The reference value,  $TEC_{ref}$  is defined as a median of  $TEC_{obs}$  at the same local time and latitude in the past 27 days.
- Since distributions of  $P_{TEC}$  are different among different seasons, local-times, and latitudes,  $P_{TEC}$  is normalized by  $\sigma$ . The normalized  $P_{TEC}$  is used to determine an Iscale. It is defined by setting thresholds to the normalized numbers to seven categories:

I0: Quiet state I<sub>P</sub>1, I<sub>P</sub>2, I<sub>P</sub>3: moderate, strong, severe positive storms I<sub>N</sub>1, I<sub>N</sub>2, I<sub>N</sub>3: moderate, strong, and severe negative storms

Distribution of  $P_{TEC}$ (29°N, Feb-Apr, 20JST)







JpGU@Makuhari, Chiba, 22 May 2016 P-EM04 Space Weather, Space Climate, and VarSITI, 09:30-09:45

## Solar Flare Prediction with Vector Magnetogram and Chromospheric Brightening using Machine-learning

O N. Nishizuka<sup>1</sup>, Y. Kubo<sup>1</sup>, K. Sugiura<sup>2</sup>, M. Den<sup>1</sup>,S. Watari<sup>1</sup>, M. Ishii<sup>1</sup>



出典 www.google.co.jp

National Institute of Information and Communications Tech. (NICT) <sup>1</sup>Applied Electromagnetic Research Institute, Space Environment Lab. <sup>2</sup>Advanced Speech Translation Research and Development Promotion Center (ASTREC), Advanced Translation Tech. Lab. AlphaGo Deeplearning



# **Daily Space Weather Forecasts**



Manual Prediction: Hit rate~60-80%

True Skill Score: TSS~0.5 (-1.0 < TSS < 1.0)



## Flow chart of Flare Prediction System



# Machine-Learning

(1) To construct algorithms that can learn from and automatically make classification or prediction on known/unknown data.

(2) To classify and predict the complex data, beyond the human processing capacity.



### Statistical map of Solar features before Flares



# **Prediction Results & Evaluation**

• We divided the database of features randomly into training/test datasets with the ratio of 70 : 30. We evaluated the prediction results with TSS.



- We achieved TSS =  $0.927 \rightarrow$  world top-class score.
- In our model, kNN showed better score than SVM, ERT.

# **Operational Prediction (week shuffle)**

Magnetic filed of sunspots does not change so much within 24 hours, so it's not good to divide the data just before a flare into training/test data sets. Therefore, we adopted the week shuffle for operation evaluation.



 In operational model, we achieved TSS = 0.907 cf) Bobra & Couvidat'15 TSS=0.76, Muranushi+'15 TSS=0.75

 $\rightarrow$  world top-class !

(NN is the best among the three machine-learning meth

kNN is the best, among the three machine-learning methods.

## Importance Ranking of Features (from ERT)

Ranking		Features	Importance	
	1.	Xhis	0.0519	•Flare history (total, 1day),
	2.	Xmax1d	0.0495	• Max X-ray intensity 1 day before
	3.	Mhis	0.0365	
	4.	TotNL	0.0351	•Total length of Neutral Lines
	5.	Mhis1d	0.0342	•Number of NLs
	6.	NumNL	0.0341	<ul> <li>Unsigned magnetic flux,</li> </ul>
	7.	Usflux	0.0332	•averaged/max Bz
	8.	CHArea	0.0235	r,
	9.	Bave	0.0230	Chromospheric Bright Area
	10.	Xhis1d	0.0224	
	11.	TotBSQ	0.0199	<ul> <li>Total magnitude of Lorentz force</li> </ul>
	12.	VUSflux	0.0196	<ul> <li>Mean angle of field from radial</li> <li>Sum of the modules of the net current per polarity</li> </ul>
	13.	Bmax	0.0193	
	14.	MeanGAM	0.0179	
	15.	dt24SavNCPP	0.0171	

Total 50 features